

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

DENNIS ALBA,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:14-cr-00030-JAD-GWF

ORDER

Defendant's Motion for Prescription
Eye Glasses (#17)


This matter is before the Court on Defendant's Motion for Prescription Eye Glasses (#17), filed on April 9, 2014.

A district court has no obligation to entertain pro se motions filed by a represented party. *See Abdullah v. U.S.*, 240 F.3d 683, 685 (8th Cir. 2001). Here, despite his represented status, Defendant Alba filed a pro se motion requesting prescription eye glasses while he is incarcerated to read his legal materials. *See Doc. #17*. The Court is inclined to deny Defendant's motion without prejudice due to Defendant's represented status. The Court, however, requests defense counsel either file a motion on Defendant's behalf or submit a status report updating the Court on this issue. Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant's Motion for Prescription Eye Glasses (#17) is **denied** without prejudice.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defense counsel either file a motion on Defendant's behalf or submit a status report updating the Court on Defendant's prescription eye glass issue.

DATED this 10th day of April, 2014.



**GEORGE FOLEY, JR.
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**